Developmental Cell

Small RNAs Gained during Epididymal Transit of Sperm Are Essential for Embryonic Development in Mice

Highlights

- Detailed molecular analysis of the effects of sperm RNAs on early mammalian development
- Definitive identification of an essential role for sperm microRNAs in development
- Zygotes generated by ICSI with proximal (caput) epididymisderived sperm fail
- Microinjection of cauda-specific sRNA into caput-derived embryos rescues lethality

Authors

Colin C. Conine, Fengyun Sun, Lina Song, Jaime A. Rivera-Pérez, Oliver J. Rando

Correspondence

oliver.rando@umassmed.edu

In Brief

Conine et al. take advantage of RNA repertoire changes across sperm maturation to examine sperm RNA payload function in embryonic development. Embryos generated using proximal epididymis-derived sperm exhibit altered gene regulation and fail to implant. Microinjection of microRNAs normally gained in the distal epididymis is sufficient to rescue these defects.



Developmental Cell Article

Small RNAs Gained during Epididymal Transit of Sperm Are Essential for Embryonic Development in Mice

Colin C. Conine,¹ Fengyun Sun,¹ Lina Song,¹ Jaime A. Rivera-Pérez,² and Oliver J. Rando^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605, USA ²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Genes and Development, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, USA ³Lead Contact

*Correspondence: oliver.rando@umassmed.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.024

SUMMARY

The small RNA payload of mammalian sperm undergoes dramatic remodeling during development, as several waves of microRNAs and tRNA fragments are shipped to sperm during post-testicular maturation in the epididymis. Here, we take advantage of this developmental process to probe the function of the sperm RNA payload in preimplantation development. We generated zygotes via intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) using sperm obtained from the proximal (caput) versus distal (cauda) epididymis and then characterized the development of the resulting embryos. Embryos generated using caput sperm significantly overexpress multiple regulatory factors throughout preimplantation development, subsequently implant inefficiently, and fail soon after implantation. Remarkably, microinjection of purified cauda-specific small RNAs into caput-derived embryos not only completely rescued preimplantation molecular defects but also suppressed the post-implantation embryonic lethality phenotype. These findings reveal an essential role for small RNA remodeling during post-testicular maturation of mammalian sperm and identify a specific preimplantation gene expression program responsive to sperm-delivered microRNAs.

INTRODUCTION

In sexually reproducing organisms, the vast majority of inheritance is mediated by the two half-complements of genetic material carried by the gametes. However, beyond the DNA blueprint provided by the gametes, other factors delivered during fertilization are required to initiate embryogenesis and orchestrate a robust cascade of differentiation events required for multicellular development. Many maternally supplied factors have been demonstrated to be essential for development, as, for example (1) mitochondria are strictly maternally inherited in the majority of species, (2) many maternally supplied proteins and RNAs are required to initiate the first cellular division after fertilization, and (3) defects in imprinted gene expression underlie the failure of embryos with various paternal disomies in mammals.

In contrast, due to the discrepancy in size between sperm and oocytes (1,000-fold or more in most organisms), it is generally believed that sperm contribute little or nothing to early development beyond their haploid genome, a small number of epigenetic marks that are not "erased" by maternal factors, and, in some organisms, the first functional centriole. That said, it is increasingly clear that the male gamete carries additional information that can affect offspring development (Rando, 2012), and a growing number of studies in mammals have implicated sperm RNAs in control of early embryonic development and offspring phenotype. For example, embryos generated using Dicer cKO sperm exhibit defects in progression to the blastocyst stage of development, and these defects can be rescued by microinjection of purified sperm RNAs (Yuan et al., 2016). Moreover, we and others have found that paternal environmental conditions can alter the RNA payload of mature sperm, and in some cases injection of sperm RNAs has been shown to recapitulate either regulatory or physiological phenotypes induced in offspring of natural matings (Chen et al., 2016; Donkin et al., 2016; Fullston et al., 2013; Gapp et al., 2014; Rassoulzadegan et al., 2006; Rodgers et al., 2013; Rodgers et al., 2015; Rompala et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2016). Nonetheless, although small RNAs play key roles in well-characterized transgenerational epigenetic inheritance systems such as paramutation in maize and RNA interference in plants and worms (Arteaga-Vazquez and Chandler, 2010; Fire et al., 1998; Hamilton and Baulcombe, 1999; Volpe et al., 2002; Zilberman et al., 2003), it remains unclear what functions are served by the small RNA payload of mammalian sperm upon delivery to the zygote.

Developing mammalian sperm exhibit multiple rounds of small RNA dynamics, starting with two major waves of piRNA production during spermatogenesis in the testis (Li et al., 2013), followed by a global loss of piRNAs and gain of tRNA fragments (tRFs) that occur during post-testicular maturation of sperm in the epididymis (Chen et al., 2016; García-López et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016). Mature sperm from the cauda (distal) epididymis, which exhibit progressive motility and are competent for *in vitro* fertilization, carry an RNA repertoire composed chiefly of tRFs along with a smaller population (~10% of small RNAs) of microRNAs—this is characterized in more detail in Sharma et al., 2018 (this issue of *Developmental Cell*). In addition to this dramatic switch between the dominant

small RNA species that occurs as sperm enter the epididymis, the abundance of specific small RNAs varies even between sperm obtained from the proximal (caput) vs. distal (cauda) epididymis (Figures 1A and S1)—while caput sperm already carry high levels of tRF-Glu-CTC and tRF-Gly-GCC, levels of the similarly abundant tRF-Val-CAC are dramatically (>10-fold) higher in cauda sperm than in caput sperm (Sharma et al., 2016). Levels of specific microRNAs also vary significantly during epididymal maturation of sperm (Sharma et al., 2018). For example, microRNAs encoded in several genomic clusters, such as the X-linked miR-880 cluster and the miR-17-92 oncomiR cluster, are far more abundant in cauda sperm than in caput sperm (Figure S1B) and appear to be delivered to maturing sperm by fusion with epididymosomes (Nixon et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2016).

Here, we use the dramatic remodeling of the sperm small RNA payload that occurs during epididymal transit to probe the function of sperm-delivered RNAs in the zygote. Sperm were obtained from the caput and cauda epididymis of the same animal, and matched zygotes were generated via intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). These zygotes were then developed to varying stages of preimplantation development for molecular characterization by single-embryo mRNA-sequencing or transferred to surrogate mothers for further development. We find that caput-derived embryos significantly overexpress ~50 genes primarily encoding regulatory factors (RNA binding proteins and chromatin-associated factors), beginning at the 4-cell stage and persisting to the blastocyst stage of development. These preimplantation regulatory aberrations were accompanied by pleiotropic defects in implantation and post-implantation development, as caput-derived embryos transferred into surrogate mothers did not develop to term. Remarkably, defects in both early embryonic gene regulation and post-implantation development could be rescued by microinjection of purified small RNAs from cauda epididymosomes into caput-derived ICSI zvootes. Finally, we show that microRNAs (or similarly sized small RNAs), rather than the more abundant class of longer tRFs, are the essential RNAs gained during epididymal transit. Together, our data reveal an essential role for epididymal RNA trafficking during post-testicular maturation of sperm and identify a specific molecular program in preimplantation development that is controlled by sperm-delivered microRNAs.

RESULTS

We take advantage of the dramatic remodeling of the sperm RNA repertoire that occurs during epididymal transit to interrogate the function of distinct RNA payloads in the zygote. The sperm were obtained from the caput and cauda epididymis of the same animal, and zygotes were generated via ICSI using these paired samples (Figure 1B). For convenience, we refer throughout to embryos generated using cauda epididymal sperm as either "cauda-derived" ICSI embryos or as "Cauda" embryos to distinguish between caput and cauda as descriptors of location and Caput and Cauda as compact descriptors of the embryo generation protocol.

Focusing first on preimplantation development, we cultured Caput and Cauda embryos to varying developmental stages (2-cell, 4-cell, 8-cell, morula, and blastocyst) for molecular characterization by single-embryo RNA-Seq (Ramsköld et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016). Importantly, both fertilization rates and blastocyst progression rates were identical for caput and cauda sperm (Table S1), ruling out the possibility that sperm obtained from the caput epididymis are grossly defective (aneuploid, etc.) sperm in the process of being resorbed.

In addition to allowing us to generate embryos using caput sperm (which do not exhibit forward motility and are therefore not competent for in vitro fertilization), ICSI provides unprecedented temporal resolution for genome-wide analysis of early development as the precise moment of fertilization is known (unlike in typical in vitro fertilization protocols). We therefore analyzed 2-cell stage embryos at two time points-18 hr and 28 hr post-fertilization-which span the maternal-to-zygotic transition (Table S2). This dataset faithfully documents the process of the major wave of zygotic genome activation (ZGA), revealing 5,654 genes that significantly change in abundance from 18 to 28 hr (Figures 1C and 1D). Additional embryos cultured for intermediate times provided a finer temporal view of this process (Figure S2). Confident in our experimental pipeline, we next compared data for Caput and Cauda 2-cell stage embryos to identify any gene expression phenotypes induced by the developmental differences in the sperm small RNA epigenome. However, the source of sperm used for ICSI had no significant effect on mRNA abundance in either 18- or 28-hr 2-cell stage embryos (Figures 1E and 1F). While it is possible that subtle yet meaningful changes in mRNA abundance that are not captured by single-embryo RNA-Seq occur, we conclude that any such changes must be quantitatively modest at this stage of development.

Overexpression of Multiple Epigenetic Regulators in Caput Embryos

We next turned to the single embryo RNA-Seq dataset for later stages of preimplantation development-4-cell, 8-cell, morula, and blastocyst (Table S3)-focusing first on the 4-cell stage. Here, we find a strong signature of differential gene expression, with 37 genes exhibiting significantly increased mRNA abundance in Caput embryos, relative to matched cauda-derived embryos (Figures 2A and 2B). These genes primarily encode regulatory factors, including RNA binding proteins (Hnrnpab, Hnrnpu, Pcbp1, Eif3b, Yrdc, Ythdf1, Srsf2, and Ybx1) and chromatin-associated factors (Smarcd2, Smarca5, Smarcc1, Trim28, and Ezh2). Not only were these genes upregulated at the 4-cell stage but they (along with other RNA and chromatin regulatory genes-Grsf1, Alyref, etc.-that changed but were not statistically significant in the 4-cell dataset) also remained upregulated in 8-cell, morula, and blastocyst-stage embryos (Figures 2C and 2D; Figures S3 and S4). The consistent behavior of these genes throughout preimplantation development provides extensive biological replication of this gene signature, giving us a high-confidence set of target genes affected by some aspect of post-testicular sperm maturation. In addition to the common gene expression signature observed across preimplantation development, a small number of genes were preferentially affected specifically at the blastocyst stage, with decreased levels of Upp1, Utf1, and Tdgf1 and increased levels of Gata6 in Caput blastocysts (Figures 2D and S4B). Together, our preimplantation RNA-Seg data reveal robust effects of sperm



Figure 1. Experimental Pipeline

(A) Sperm obtained from the proximal (caput) and distal (cauda) epididymis carry distinct small RNA populations (Nixon et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2016). These sperm samples differ in the abundance of hundreds of small RNAs—shown here are prominent examples of relevant RNA species. The RNAs listed for caput sperm are present in high abundance in caput sperm and are generally maintained at high levels in cauda sperm, while those listed for cauda sperm are examples of small RNAs that are scarce in caput sperm but specifically gained in cauda sperm. See also Figure S1.

(B) Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) pipeline. Paired caput and cauda sperm samples (from the same animal) are injected into control oocytes, and embryos are cultured to the indicated stages and subject to single-embryo RNA-Seq. Numbers associated with each stage give the number of embryos in the initial baseline dataset (excluding later RNA injection datasets—see Table S7 for a complete listing of embryo numbers for the entire study).

(C) ICSI 2-cell stage dataset faithfully recapitulates the major wave of zygotic genome activation (ZGA). Scatterplot of average mRNA abundance (average tpm +1) for 18-hr embryos (pre-ZGA, x axis) vs. 28-hr embryos (post-ZGA, y axis), with significantly (adjusted p < 0.05) differentially expressed genes shown as red and green dots. See also Figure S2.

(D) Heatmaps of significant ZGA genes shown separately for caput- and cauda-derived embryos, as indicated.

(E and F) Scatterplots of average mRNA abundance (as in C) for Caput vs. Cauda embryos at 18 hr (E) and 28 hr (F), showing no significantly differentially expressed genes.

maturation on expression of a group of RNA and chromatin regulators, with these early expression changes potentially contributing to later effects on signaling and gene regulation in the blastocyst.

Caput-Derived Embryos Exhibit Multiple Defects in Post-implantation Development

Interestingly, the significant regulatory changes observed in early embryos do not impact overall preimplantation development—we achieved identical rates of fertilization and blastocyst progression for ICSI using caput and cauda sperm (Table S1). This raises the question of whether the significant molecular alterations observed in early development might result in any overt later phenotypes, such as defects in post-implantation development or altered physiology in resulting offspring. To address this question, we generated Caput and Cauda embryos by ICSI using paired sperm samples as described above, cultured the embryos to the 2-cell stage, and then surgically transferred 10–20 embryos into pseudo-pregnant surrogate mothers (Figure 3A). Although we initially set out to characterize phenotypes in offspring of these experiments, to our surprise, none of the females implanted with Caput embryos ever gave birth (Figure 3B). This was not a defect in our embryo transfer procedure, as we readily obtained healthy litters from matched transfers of cauda-derived ICSI embryos carried out under identical conditions. Given that Caput embryos are perfectly capable of progression to the blastocyst stage (Table S1), we conclude that caput sperm carry a functional haploid genome (for example, they are not aneuploid or heavily oxidatively damaged) and instead that caput sperm may lack some epigenetic factor required for normal post-implantation development.

At what stage do Caput embryos fail during post-implantation development? We initially noted that recipient females carrying Caput embryos do not exhibit the increase in size typical of gravid females, suggesting that the defect occurs relatively early in pregnancy. We therefore repeated ICSI with caput and cauda



Figure 2. Effects of Post-testicular Sperm Maturation on Preimplantation Gene Regulation

(A) Scatterplot of 4-cell stage gene expression for Cauda vs. Caput embryos. Data are shown for all genes with a median expression level of at least 10 tpm across the entire dataset, and significant differences (here, showing 37 genes with t test p value < 0.05, adjusted for multiple hypotheses) in gene expression are indicated.

(B) Data for every individual 4-cell embryo for eight genes overexpressed in Caput embryos. Black bars show median expression for each group of embryos. *, **, and *** show comparisons with adjusted p values below 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

(C) Gene expression differences at the 4-cell stage persist into later developmental stages. Here, boxplots (box: median and 25th/75th percentiles, whiskers: 10th and 90th percentiles) show log₂ of expression level normalized relative to the median value in matched cauda-derived embryos of the relevant developmental stage.

(D) Heatmap of all 95 genes exhibiting a difference in expression (p_{adj} < 0.1) at any stage of development. Data are expressed as log₂ ratio (Caput/Cauda). See also Figures S3 and S4.



Figure 3. Caput-Derived Embryos Fail during Early Post-implantation Development

(A) Schematic representation of embryo transfer experiments. ICSI embryos were cultured to the 2-cell stage, then 15–20 embryos were transferred into an oviduct of a pseudo-pregnant Swiss Webster female.

(B) Success rates for Caput and Cauda embryos allowed to develop to term. Note that Caput embryos were also transferred to recipient females in additional experiments below, with a total success rate of 0/20 across all experiments in this study.

(C) Images of all embryos dissected from one female each transferred with either Cauda or Caput embryos. A greater fraction of Cauda embryos implanted and produced normally developing embryos. The left panel shows six normal and one abnormal Cauda embryos. In contrast, fewer implantation sites were observed for the Caput embryos, and the few implantation sites observed produced the set of the caput embryos.

were either undergoing resorption or carried abnormally developing embryos. The right panel shows one normal embryo and two abnormal ones. (D) Quantitation of all embryo transfer dissections. Caput-derived embryos exhibit lower implantation rates, higher resorption rates, and (not quantified but shown in C) poor growth in the peri-implantation period. Note that the percentages associated with the number of E7.5 embryos recovered are relative to the number of implantation sites and not to the total number of embryos transferred.

sperm and transferred 2-cell stage embryos as before, but rather than allowing the embryos to go to term, we dissected the embryos from the uteri at embryonic day 7.5 (E7.5). Figure 3C shows all embryos recovered from a typical pair of embryo transfers, with Cauda embryos yielding a number of large, normally developing embryos, along with a few defective embryos. In contrast, we obtained many fewer Caput embryos at this stage of development, and the majority of these exhibited clear growth defects. We quantified several features of peri-implantation development, finding that the decrease in the number of healthy caput-derived embryos was a result of defects at multiple stages: Caput embryos exhibited fewer implantation sites in the recipient uterus (for the same number of transferred embryos), a higher fraction of embryos was resorbed, and the small number of developing embryos more often exhibited gross delays in developmental progression (Figure 3D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that, in our hands, Caput embryos exhibit a pleiotropic defect during or soon after implantation (see Discussion).

Molecular and Developmental Characterization of Embryos Generated Using Testicular Spermatozoa

The failure of Caput ICSI embryos to develop to term is quite surprising in light of the fact that embryos generated using even more immature testicular sperm, or even round spermatids, are viable—this is a common method of assisted reproduction in humans (Greco et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2015). We readily confirmed this finding under our experimental conditions, successfully producing offspring from ICSI embryos generated using testicular spermatozoa (3 of 4 transfers yielding offspring), in contrast to 0 litters for a matched set of 3 Caput embryo transfers. Given the ability of testicular sperm ICSI embryos to develop to term, we tested the prediction that the preimplantation regulatory aberrations observed in Caput embryos would not occur in Testicular embryos. Intriguingly, the altered gene expression program observed in Caput embryos was indeed absent in embryos fertilized using testicular spermatozoa (Figure 4 and S5), confirming that both testicular sperm and cauda epididymal sperm are capable of supporting similar embryonic development from fertilization to birth, while caput sperm uniquely—cause the regulatory and developmental aberrations documented in Figures 2 and 3. These data suggest two likely hypotheses: (1) that sperm isolated from the caput epididymis represent a developmental dead end resulting from defects such as, for example, DNA damage, or (2) that epigenetic dynamics during early post-testicular development create a transiently defective sperm population that is subsequently corrected during further epididymal transit.

Small RNAs Gained during Epididymal Transit Rescue Preimplantation Defects in Caput-Derived Embryos

As Caput and Cauda ICSI embryos are identically successful through blastocyst development (Table S1), we set out to test the hypothesis that epigenetic differences between caput and cauda sperm are responsible for the preimplantation molecular alterations and the defective post-implantation development of caput-derived embryos. Cytosine methylation patterns are largely unchanged following their establishment during primordial germ cell development (Feng et al., 2010)-we have confirmed in whole genome surveys that genes in the Caput gene expression program are not differentially methylated between caput and cauda sperm (unpublished data)-and the histone-to-protamine transition is already essentially complete in testicular spermatozoa (Gaucher et al., 2010). We therefore focused on the possibility that the dramatic remodeling of the sperm RNA payload that occurs during epididymal maturation (Figure S1) could be responsible for the effects we see here. To test this, we obtained cauda-specific small RNAs by purifying 18-40 nucleotide RNAs from extracellular vesicles - epididymosomes-isolated from the cauda epididymis (Sharma et al., 2016). We then generated three sets of embryos: Cauda ICSI embryos, control Caput ICSI embryos injected with H3.3-GFP



Figure 4. Molecular Analysis of Testicular Sperm-Derived Preimplantation Embryos

(A) Schematic of testicular sperm ICSI experiments.

(B) Dot plots showing examples of key Caput-regulated genes in individual 4-cell stage Cauda (n = 33) and testicular (n = 34) embryos. Black bars show median expression level for each condition.

(C and D) Scatterplots comparing mRNA abundance (all genes with median tpm > 10) between Cauda and Testicular embryos at the 4-cell (C) and blastocyst (D) stages. See also Figure S5 for morula-stage data.

mRNA (to visualize successfully injected embryos), and Caput ICSI embryos injected with cauda-specific small RNAs (as well as H3.3-GFP) 3 hr after fertilization (Figure 5A). Resulting embryos were cultured to the blastocyst stage and characterized by RNA-Seq (Table S4).

Consistent with the first two replicate datasets and with data from earlier developmental stages (4-cell, etc.), this third blastocyst dataset again reveals upregulation of the same group of regulatory genes-Hnrnpab, Eif3b, Pcbp1, Gata6, etc.-in caput-derived embryos compared to Cauda embryos (Figure 5B). Strikingly, the injection of cauda-specific small RNAs was sufficient to rescue the gene expression defects of Caput embryos, offsetting the overexpression of these regulatory genes (Figure 5B). More globally, cauda-specific small RNAs were able to almost completely restore Cauda-like gene expression in Caput blastocysts (Figure 5C). The complete suppression of the Caput preimplantation gene expression program by small RNA injections essentially rules out the possibilities that this altered regulatory program results from (1) defects in caput sperm such as aneuploidy or oxidative DNA damage, (2) cytosine methylation or chromatin differences between caput and cauda sperm, or (3) the absence of various proteins normally delivered to sperm during epididymal maturation (Krapf et al., 2012; Sullivan et al., 2007).

What are the small RNAs responsible for rescuing the preimplantation regulatory defects in Caput embryos? As detailed extensively elsewhere (Sharma et al., 2016; Sharma et al.,

6 Developmental Cell 46, 1–11, August 20, 2018

2018; summarized in Figures 1A and S1), caput sperm gain multiple small RNAs as they continue their transit through the epididymis. Cauda-specific RNAs most notably include several abundant tRFs (tRF-Val-CAC and tRF-Val-AAC), as well as ~100 microRNAs, most of which are encoded in multi-microRNA genomic clusters (such as the X-linked miR-880 cluster or the "oncomiR" 17-92 cluster). To broadly separate the effects of cauda-specific tRFs from cauda-specific microRNAs, we gelpurified 18-26 nt and 26-40 nt cauda epididymosomal RNAs for microRNA and tRF enrichment, respectively (Figures 6A and 6B). It is important to note that although this procedure greatly enriches the indicated RNA species (free of associated binding proteins), these preparations nonetheless represent complex RNA populations, as, for example, the "microRNA" fraction includes low levels of a variety of relatively short (<26 nt) 5' and 3' tRFs (see Discussion). Purified small RNA populations were microinjected into caput-derived ICSI embryos as described above, and embryos were cultured to either the 4-cell stage or to the blastocyst stage for single-embryo RNA-Seq (Tables S5 and S6).

These data once again confirmed the robust overexpression of *Hnrnpab* and various other genes involved in RNA and chromatin metabolism in Caput embryos. This Caput-specific gene expression program was unperturbed by microinjection of cauda-specific tRFs, both for 4-cell stage embryos and for blastocysts (Figures 6C and S6). Instead, we find that the suppression of the Caput preimplantation gene expression program by

Cell²ress



Figure 5. Cauda-Specific Small RNAs Rescue Development of Caput-Derived Embryos

(A) Schematic representation of cauda epididymosomal RNA purification and microinjection. ICSI zygotes were generated by injection of caput or cauda sperm. Three hours later, Caput embryos were microinjected either with gel-purified small (18–40 nt) RNAs obtained from cauda epididymosomes, along with H3.3-GFP mRNA (Torres-Padilla et al., 2006) as a tracer for successful injections, or were injected with H3.3-GFP alone. Resulting zygotes were cultured to the blastocyst stage for RNA-Seq.

(B) Cauda-specific RNAs repress genes overexpressed in caput-derived embryos. Dots show individual embryo RNA-Seq data for Cauda (n = 39), Caput (n = 26), or Caput+RNA (n = 25) blastocysts. In every case, injection of cauda-specific small RNAs returns expression of these genes to the Cauda baseline.
 (C) Scatterplot of Caput effects on mRNA abundance (x axis, log₂ fold change Caput/Cauda) vs. effects of small RNA injection (y axis, log₂ fold change Caput+RNA/Caput). Dots in the lower right corner are overexpressed in Caput embryos and downregulated upon microinjection of cauda-specific small RNAs.

cauda-specific small RNAs could be recapitulated via microinjection of cauda-specific microRNAs (Figures 6C and 6D and S6). This was readily apparent for any individual Caput-specific target gene (Figures 6C and S6B), and more generally we find that a Cauda-like gene expression program was globally restored in caput sperm-derived embryos following microRNA injection (Figures 6D and S6C). The repression of Caput-induced genes was specific to cauda-specific microRNAs, as caudaspecific tRFs had no effect on these genes (Figures 6E and 6F). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the microRNAs gained by caput sperm as they further transit the epididymis are required to support normal preimplantation gene regulation.

Cauda-Specific Small RNAs Rescue Development of Caput-Derived Embryos

Finally, as cauda-specific small RNAs were able to rescue the *pre*implantation molecular defects in caput-derived embryos, we sought to determine whether these RNAs could also rescue the post-implantation defects of these embryos. We therefore generated the same three sets of embryos described in Figure 5A— Cauda, Caput, and Caput+RNA—which were then transferred into recipient females as in Figure 3. As observed in Figure 3, we did not obtain any live births from the Caput embryos—across three different experiments in this study, we obtained 0 litters from 20 Caput embryo transfers (in contrast to 7 of 9 for Cauda embryos, or 3 of 4 for Testicular embryos). Astonishingly, microinjection of cauda-specific small RNAs suppressed the developmental lethality observed in the Caput embryos, as we obtained litters for 4 of 7 embryo transfers (Figure 7). We conclude that the small RNAs that are gained as sperm transit from the caput to the cauda epididymis are essential to support proper development. Moreover, the ability of small RNAs to rescue the development of embryos fertilized using caput epididymal sperm provides further evidence that these sperm are not genetically defective but are simply epigenetically immature.

DISCUSSION

Taken together, our data demonstrate that the dynamic remodeling of the small RNA payload of sperm that occurs during posttesticular maturation has dramatic functional consequences in the early embryo and define a novel function for the epididymal maturation process beyond the established acquisition of sperm motility and competence for fertilization. We show that paternally transmitted small RNAs function during preimplantation development to downregulate a set of genes encoding RNA-binding proteins and chromatin-associated factors and that proper acquisition of small RNAs by maturing sperm is essential for offspring development. Importantly, several lines of evidence rule out the hypothesis that sperm obtained from the caput epididymis represent defective sperm in the process of apoptosis or resorption, as (1) fertilization rates and blastocyst



Figure 6. Cauda-Specific MicroRNAs, but Not tRFs, Rescue Caput Preimplantation Defects

(A) Schematic representation of microinjection experiment. As in Figure 5A, but two separate small RNA populations were gel-purified: 18–26 nt RNAs are enriched for microRNAs (but include additional molecular species including short 5' and 3' tRFs), while 26–40 nt RNAs primarily comprise tRFs.
 (B) Purification of epididymosomal RNAs. Acrylamide electrophoresis of two replicate total RNA samples purified from cauda epididymosomes. Boxes show the

boundaries used for gel-purification of microRNAs and tRFs. (C) mRNA abundance for individual 4-cell stage Cauda (n = 23), Caput (n = 23), Caput+microRNA (n = 22), and Caput+tRF (n = 21) embryos, for representative

Caput-upregulated genes. In all cases, cauda-specific "microRNAs" returned mRNA abundance to Cauda levels, while tRFs had little to no effect on these target genes. See Figure S6B for blastocyst-stage data. The clear difference between these matched RNA injections—both RNA samples should be free of contaminating proteins and should include similar levels of any potential contaminants such as leftover acrylamide—demonstrates that the rescue activity is specific to 18–26 nt cauda epididymosomal small RNAs.

(D) Scatterplot comparing Caput effects (x axis) and microRNA effects (y axis) on mRNA abundance in 4-cell stage embryos. See Figure S6C for blastocyst stage dataset.

(E and F) Histograms of microRNA and tRF effects on Caput-upregulated genes. In both cases, data are shown for mRNAs that exhibit a log_2 fold change of at least 0.5 in Caput vs. Cauda embryos (p < 0.01, uncorrected for multiple hypothesis testing to include a greater number of genes — results are even more dramatic for the smaller subset of genes significant after multiple hypothesis-correction). For both 4-cell stage (E) and blastocyst-stage (F) data, cauda-specific microRNAs cause downregulation of Caput-induced genes, while tRFs have little to no effect on these genes (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p value comparing microRNA and tRF effects < 10^{-15} for both datasets).



Figure 7. Cauda-Specific Small RNAs Rescue Development of Caput Embryos

(A) Experimental schematic. Small RNA injections were carried out as in Figure 5A, but ICSI embryos were transferred at the 2-cell stage into the oviduct of pseudo-pregnant females as in Figure 3.

(B) Image showing an example of a successful litter generated from caputderived embryos microinjected with cauda RNAs. The table underneath lists success rates, as in Figure 3B.

progression are identical for Caput and Cauda embryos and (2) the developmental defects of Caput embryos can be rescued simply by small RNA microinjection.

We are aware that our findings appear to contradict previous reports documenting successful generation of full-term offspring from Caput ICSI embryos in mice (Suganuma et al., 2005) and (with extremely low ICSI success rates) rats (Said et al., 2003). Focusing on the more comparable mouse experiments, we consider several potential explanations for this discrepancy. First, our studies differ in strain background-we used FVB rather than 129Sv animals-and it is plausible that this affects the ability of Caput embryos to implant. Second, Suganuma et al. obtained sperm from 6- to 10-month-old males, whereas we use 10- to 12-week-old males. Third, the precise anatomical dissection of the caput epididymis could differ between our preparationsgiven that both testicular sperm and cauda sperm support full development in our hands as well as in countless other reports, a caput epididymis dissection that included earlier or later sperm populations could result in greater developmental success of "caput sperm" ICSI embryos. That said, the comparable success rate for Caput and Cauda embryos reported by Suganuma et al. makes this idea unlikely. Fourth, our protocols differ significantly in the embryos transferred to females, as Suganuma et al. transferred morula/blastocyst stage embryos to the ampulla, whereas we transferred 2-cell stage embryos. It is plausible that multiple days of diapause experienced by blastocyst-stage Caput embryos as they transit the oviduct could potentially help overcome the eventual implantation deficits we observe in Caput embryos. Finally, we note that ICSI is typically performed with sperm heads, but sperm head isolation protocols differ in detail-Suganuma et al. generated sperm heads by drawing sperm into the injection pipette, applying a few piezo pulses, and recovering the sperm head for injection. In rats, Said et al. generated sperm heads by sonicating intact sperm. In contrast, we generate sperm heads en masse by drawing sperm repeatedly through a fine-gauge needle (see STAR Methods), and sperm heads from the resulting suspension are then picked for ICSI. It is likely that these protocols differ in the extent to which the injected sperm heads retain associated sperm components such as the midpiece, and it is therefore almost certain that the RNA contents differ between caput sperm head preparations.

Whatever the reason for this discrepancy, we demonstrate here that the injection of cauda epididymosomal small RNAs reproducibly and completely rescues both the defective development and the molecular phenotype—not previously studied—exhibited by embryos fertilized with our caput sperm head preps. This is clearly most consistent with a model in which sperm small RNAs gained over the course of epididymal transit have important regulatory functions during early embryonic development.

A Functional Role for MicroRNA Remodeling in the Epididymis

Sperm undergo at least two dramatic alterations to their small RNA payload during the process of post-testicular maturation in the epididymis: a global switch from a piRNA-dominated payload in testicular sperm to a tRF-dominated payload in the epididymis and a transient destruction or loss of a subset of microRNAs in caput sperm that is then countered by regain of these microRNAs in the cauda epididymis (Figure S1; Sharma et al., 2018). Two lines of evidence demonstrate that it is this latter process-the transient loss of genomically clustered microRNAs-that causes the developmental aberrations in embryos generated using caput epididymal sperm. First, the robust overexpression of various regulatory genes is observed specifically in embryos generated using caput epididymal sperm, whereas embryos generated using testicular spermatozoa and cauda epididymal sperm exhibit much more similar gene expression programs (Figure 4). Moreover, in contrast to Caput embryos, we readily obtained offspring from both Cauda and Testicular ICSI embryos. As the loss of clustered microRNAs such as the miR-880 cluster is a transient feature specific to caput sperm-these microRNAs are abundant in all testicular sperm populations analyzed from primary spermatocytes to mature testicular spermatozoa and are similarly abundant in cauda sperm-the strong similarity between Testicular and Cauda embryos pointed to the possibility that these microRNAs might play a role in early embryonic gene regulation. Second, fractionation of the cauda-specific small RNA payload based on RNA length confirmed that microRNAs (or, less likely, relatively low-abundance tRFs that are shorter than the primary population of 28–36 nt tRNA cleavage products) are responsible

for supporting normal gene expression in Cauda embryos, as re-introduction of this purified RNA population was able to almost completely suppress the overexpression of regulatory genes in caput-derived embryos (Figure 6). Moreover, in ongoing studies, we have been able to obtain viable offspring from Caput ICSI embryos injected with this microRNA fraction (2/4 transfers). We note that although our gel-purified "microRNA" fraction includes additional RNA species including relatively short 5' and 3' tRFs (Sharma et al., 2018), the absence of the Caput regulatory aberrations in embryos generated using testicular sperm which have yet to gain appreciable tRFs but which express the microRNAs in question—strongly argues that it is indeed the microRNAs in this fraction that rescue the developmental deficits in Caput embryos.

These data identify a functional consequence for a surprising epigenetic remodeling event-transient elimination of a group of genomically clustered microRNAs-recently documented to occur during post-testicular sperm maturation (Sharma et al., 2016). This process bears a curious similarity to the global erasure and re-establishment of cytosine methylation patterns that occurs following fertilization and again during primordial germ cell development in mammals (Feng et al., 2010). The purpose of this microRNA turnover is unclear, although, as caput sperm are not transferred to the female during mating and in any case are incapable of natural fertilization-caput sperm do not exhibit forward motility-we do not anticipate that this process normally plays any role in control of the offspring phenotype. Nonetheless, together with a prior report demonstrating that defective blastocyst progression of embryos generated from Dicer cKO germ cells can be rescued with sperm RNAs (Yuan et al., 2016), our findings provide very strong additional evidence that the mammalian sperm RNA payload is functional upon introduction to the zygote.

The identity of the small RNA(s) responsible for repression of the various RNA and chromatin regulatory genes identified here remains to be determined. Roughly 100 microRNAs present at >50 transcripts per million abundance in cauda sperm are at least 2-fold less abundant in caput sperm (Sharma et al., 2016), and extensive examination of predicted microRNA targets reveals no strong single candidate microRNA as a common regulator of the various Caput-upregulated target genes. We note two broad possible explanations for the observed Caput gene expression profile. First, given the number of differentially abundant microRNAs, it is plausible that multiple distinct microRNAs each repress expression of a small number of relevant target genes-that, for example, miR-17 represses Grsf1, miR-881 represses Hnrnpu, etc. Alternatively, one specific microRNA-mediated regulatory event could cause a broad downstream regulatory program, as, for example, the repression of a single signaling protein or a transcription factor could result in altered expression of the ${\sim}50$ target genes observed here. Beyond microRNA targeting of some upstream regulator, the altered gene expression program could be a physiological response to a global cellular defect such as decreased nuclear pore formation, altered nuclear exosome activity, or increased transposon mobilization and DNA damage. Given that the Caput-specific gene expression program comprises a strikingly coherent set of chromatin and RNA regulators, we favor the latter possibility-that the Caput gene expression program represents a regulatory response to some upstream cellular defect – but this remains to be identified.

Together, our data demonstrate that paternally transmitted small RNAs are functional in the early embryo and are essential for proper development. It will be interesting to determine the direct targets of sperm microRNAs in the zygote, the course of events that leads to downregulation of various regulatory factors during early development, and the specific molecular events responsible for facilitating proper post-implantation development. Finally, although embryos generated using immature testicular sperm are viable in mice and humans, and given that testicular sperm carry a very distinct small RNA repertoire from that of cauda sperm, we suggest that the phenotypic consequences of ICSI bear further scrutiny, and our studies provide a possible interventional framework for offsetting potential issues.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING.
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- Mouse Strain Backgrounds and Husbandry
 METHOD DETAILS
 - Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI)
 - Epididymosome Small RNA Isolation and Injection
 Single-Embryo RNA Sequencing
 - QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Hnrnpab Immunofluorescence
 E7.5 Embryo Dissection
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information includes six figures and seven tables and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.024.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Rando lab for their critical reading of the manuscript. Research was supported by NIH grants R01HD080224 and DP1ES025458 (O.J.R.) and R01HD083311 (JAR-P). C.C.C. was supported by a Helen Hay Whitney Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All experiments were conceived by C.C.C. and O.J.R. ICSI and single-embryo RNA-seq experiments were performed by C.C.C. and F.S., and embryo transfers for analysis of post-implantation embryo fate were performed by L.S. E7.5 embryo dissections and analysis were performed by J.A.R.-P., C.C.C., and L.S. Deep-sequencing data analysis was carried out by C.C.C. and O.J.R. The manuscript was written by C.C.C. and O.J.R. and edited by all authors.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: November 17, 2017 Revised: March 3, 2018 Accepted: June 27, 2018 Published: July 26, 2018

REFERENCES

Arteaga-Vazquez, M.A., and Chandler, V.L. (2010). Paramutation in maize: RNA mediated trans-generational gene silencing. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 20, 156–163.

Belleannée, C., Calvo, É., Caballero, J., and Sullivan, R. (2013). Epididymosomes convey different repertoires of microRNAs throughout the bovine epididymis. Biol. Reprod. 89, 30.

Chen, Q., Yan, M., Cao, Z., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Shi, J., Feng, G.H., Peng, H., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., et al. (2016). Sperm tsRNAs contribute to intergenerational inheritance of an acquired metabolic disorder. Science *351*, 397–400.

Donkin, I., Versteyhe, S., Ingerslev, L.R., Qian, K., Mechta, M., Nordkap, L., Mortensen, B., Appel, E.V., Jørgensen, N., Kristiansen, V.B., et al. (2016). Obesity and bariatric surgery drive epigenetic variation of spermatozoa in humans. Cell. Metab. *23*, 369–378.

Feng, S., Jacobsen, S.E., and Reik, W. (2010). Epigenetic reprogramming in plant and animal development. Science *330*, 62–627.

Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M.K., Kostas, S.A., Driver, S.E., and Mello, C.C. (1998). Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature *391*, 806–811.

Fullston, T., Ohlsson Teague, E.M., Palmer, N.O., DeBlasio, M.J., Mitchell, M., Corbett, M., Print, C.G., Owens, J.A., and Lane, M. (2013). Paternal obesity initiates metabolic disturbances in two generations of mice with incomplete penetrance to the F2 generation and alters the transcriptional profile of testis and sperm microRNA content. FASEB J. 27, 4226–4243.

Gapp, K., Jawaid, A., Sarkies, P., Bohacek, J., Pelczar, P., Prados, J., Farinelli, L., Miska, E., and Mansuy, I.M. (2014). Implication of sperm RNAs in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 667–669.

García-López, J., Hourcade, Jde D., Alonso, L., Cárdenas, D.B., and Del Mazo, J. (2014). Global characterization and target identification of piRNAs and endo-siRNAs in mouse gametes and zygotes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1839*, 463–475.

Gaucher, J., Reynoird, N., Montellier, E., Boussouar, F., Rousseaux, S., and Khochbin, S. (2010). From meiosis to postmeiotic events: the secrets of histone disappearance. FEBS J. 277, 599–604.

Greco, E., Scarselli, F., Iacobelli, M., Rienzi, L., Ubaldi, F., Ferrero, S., Franco, G., Anniballo, N., Mendoza, C., and Tesarik, J. (2005). Efficient treatment of infertility due to sperm DNA damage by ICSI with testicular spermatozoa. Hum. Reprod. *20*, 226–230.

Hamilton, A.J., and Baulcombe, D.C. (1999). A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. Science 286, 950–952.

Krapf, D., Ruan, Y.C., Wertheimer, E.V., Battistone, M.A., Pawlak, J.B., Sanjay, A., Pilder, S.H., Cuasnicu, P., Breton, S., and Visconti, P.E. (2012). cSrc is necessary for epididymal development and is incorporated into sperm during epididymal transit. Dev. Biol. *369*, 43–53.

Li, X.Z., Roy, C.K., Dong, X., Bolcun-Filas, E., Wang, J., Han, B.W., Xu, J., Moore, M.J., Schimenti, J.C., Weng, Z., et al. (2013). An ancient transcription factor initiates the burst of piRNA production during early meiosis in mouse testes. Mol. Cell *50*, 67–81.

Nixon, B., Stanger, S.J., Mihalas, B.P., Reilly, J.N., Anderson, A.L., Tyagi, S., Holt, J.E., and McLaughlin, E.A. (2015). The microRNA signature of mouse spermatozoa is substantially modified during epididymal maturation. Biol. Reprod. *93*, 91.

Peng, H., Shi, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Liao, S., Li, W., Lei, L., Han, C., Ning, L., Cao, Y., et al. (2012). A novel class of tRNA-derived small RNAs extremely enriched in mature mouse sperm. Cell Res. *22*, 1609–1612.

Ramsköld, D., Luo, S., Wang, Y.C., Li, R., Deng, Q., Faridani, O.R., Daniels, G.A., Khrebtukova, I., Loring, J.F., Laurent, L.C., et al. (2012). Full-length mRNA-seq from single-cell levels of RNA and individual circulating tumor cells. Nat. Biotechnol. *30*, 777–782.

Rando, O.J. (2012). Daddy issues: paternal effects on phenotype. Cell 151, 702–708.

Rassoulzadegan, M., Grandjean, V., Gounon, P., Vincent, S., Gillot, I., and Cuzin, F. (2006). RNA-mediated non-Mendelian inheritance of an epigenetic change in the mouse. Nature *441*, 469–474.

Rodgers, A.B., Morgan, C.P., Bronson, S.L., Revello, S., and Bale, T.L. (2013). Paternal stress exposure alters sperm microRNA content and reprograms offspring HPA stress axis regulation. J. Neurosci. *33*, 9003–9012.

Rodgers, A.B., Morgan, C.P., Leu, N.A., and Bale, T.L. (2015). Transgenerational epigenetic programming via sperm microRNA recapitulates effects of paternal stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *112*, 13699–13704.

Rompala, G.R., Mounier, A., Wolfe, C.M., Lin, Q., Lefterov, I., and Homanics, G.E. (2018). Heavy chronic intermittent ethanol exposure alters small noncoding RNAs in mouse sperm and epididymosomes. Front. Genet. *9*, 32.

Said, S., Han, M.S., and Niwa, K. (2003). Development of rat oocytes following intracytoplasmic injection of sperm heads isolated from testicular and epididymal spermatozoa. Theriogenology *60*, 359–369.

Santenard, A., Ziegler-Birling, C., Koch, M., Tora, L., Bannister, A.J., and Torres-Padilla, M.E. (2010). Heterochromatin formation in the mouse embryo requires critical residues of the histone variant H3.3. Nat. Cell Biol. *12*, 853–862.

Sharma, U., Conine, C.C., Shea, J.M., Boskovic, A., Derr, A.G., Bing, X.Y., Belleannee, C., Kucukural, A., Serra, R.W., Sun, F., et al. (2016). Biogenesis and function of tRNA fragments during sperm maturation and fertilization in mammals. Science *351*, 391–396.

Sharma, U., Sun, F., Conine, C.C., Reichholf, B., Kukreja, S., Herzog, V.A., Ameres, S.L., and Rando, O.J. (2018). Small RNAs are trafficked from the epididymis to developing mammalian sperm. Dev. Cell *46*. Published online July 26, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.023.

Suganuma, R., Yanagimachi, R., and Meistrich, M.L. (2005). Decline in fertility of mouse sperm with abnormal chromatin during epididymal passage as revealed by ICSI. Hum. Reprod. *20*, 3101–3108.

Sullivan, R., Frenette, G., and Girouard, J. (2007). Epididymosomes are involved in the acquisition of new sperm proteins during epididymal transit. Asian J. Androl. *9*, 483–491.

Tanaka, A., Nagayoshi, M., Takemoto, Y., Tanaka, I., Kusunoki, H., Watanabe, S., Kuroda, K., Takeda, S., Ito, M., and Yanagimachi, R. (2015). Fourteen babies born after round spermatid injection into human oocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *112*, 14629–14634.

Torres-Padilla, M.E., Bannister, A.J., Hurd, P.J., Kouzarides, T., and Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2006). Dynamic distribution of the replacement histone variant H3.3 in the mouse oocyte and preimplantation embryos. Int. J. Dev. Biol. *50*, 455–461.

Volpe, T.A., Kidner, C., Hall, I.M., Teng, G., Grewal, S.I., and Martienssen, R.A. (2002). Regulation of heterochromatic silencing and histone H3 lysine-9 methylation by RNAi. Science 297, 1833–1837.

Yuan, S., Schuster, A., Tang, C., Yu, T., Ortogero, N., Bao, J., Zheng, H., and Yan, W. (2016). Sperm-borne miRNAs and endo-siRNAs are important for fertilization and preimplantation embryonic development. Development *143*, 635–647.

Zilberman, D., Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S.E. (2003). ARGONAUTE4 control of locus-specific siRNA accumulation and DNA and histone methylation. Science *299*, 716–719.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit monoclonal [EPR16944] to HNRPAB (Alexa Fluor 647)	Abcam	ab210053
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Hyaluranidase IV-S	Sigma	H4272
Critical Commercial Assays		
Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit	Illumina	FC-131-1096
NextSeq 500/550 High Output v2 kit (75 cycles)	Illumina	FC-404-2005
SuperScript II	Invitrogen	18064-014
Deposited Data		
Raw and analyzed data	This paper	GEO: GSE111864
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: FVB/NJ	The Jackson Laboratory	JAX: 001800
Mouse: Swiss Webster	Taconic	SW-F & SW-M
Oligonucleotides		
SMART CDS Primer II A: AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTACT(30)VN	Ramsköld et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016	N/A
Template Switching Oligo: AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTACATrGrG+G	Ramsköld et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016	N/A
IS PCR Primer: AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGT	Ramsköld et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2016	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
pRN3p.H3.3-GFP	Santenard et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2016	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
SeqMapping	Umass Biocore	N/A
RSEM	Umass Biocore	N/A
Other		
EmbryoMax KSOM	Millipore	MR-020P-5F
EmbryoMax FHM HEPES Buffered Medium (1X), liquid w/o Phenol Red and BSA	Millipore	MR-122-D

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Oliver J. Rando (oliver.rando@umassmed.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mouse Strain Backgrounds and Husbandry

Six week old FVB/NJ mice were purchased directly from Jackson Laboratory. 24 hours after arrival at the UMass animal facility, animals were shifted from standard lab chow to control diet AIN-93g (Bioserv). After being on control diet for two weeks 1 male and 1 female were placed together to establish a breeding pair. All experiments described were carried out using the first 3 litters generated by a breeding pair, with offspring being weaned at 3 weeks after birth and subsequently fed the AIN-93g control diet. These animals were used as donors for all oocyte and sperm isolations, as well as for isolation of cauda epididysomal small RNA. For all embryo transfer experiments, Swiss Webster females 10-15 weeks old, maintained on standard lab chow, were used as surrogate mothers after being plugged by vasectomized Swiss Webster males.

All animal care and use procedures were in accordance with guidelines of the UMMS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

METHOD DETAILS

Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI)

The caput and cauda epididymis of 8-12 week old FVB/NJ mice were dissected separately into PBS. Sperm were then released by making an incision in the epididymal tissue followed by squeezing to release the contents. To detach sperm heads from the tails (both caput and cauda), after collecting the sperm in PBS in an eppendorf tube, the sperm were spun at 10,000 x g and washed once with PBS. The sperm were then resuspended in 500 μ I PBS and then drawn through a 26G needle on a 1ml syringe between 20-30 times. The shearing force from being drawn in and out of the needle removes the sperm head from tail for the majority of sperm. The sperm were then washed twice in Nuclear Isolation Media (NIM) 1% PVA and finally resuspended in 100-500 μ I NIM 1% PVA for use in ICSI.

Females were superovulated by an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of pregnant mare's serum gonadotropin (PMSG; 5 IU) followed by an i.p. injection of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG; 5 IU) 48 hours later. Eggs were then collected from the oviducts of the females 13-18 hours later by placing the dissected ampulla of the oviduct into KSOM containing 3 mg/ml hyaluranidase to digest the cumulus cells away from the eggs. After several minutes in hyaluranidase the eggs were washed 4-5 times in KSOM, finally being placed in KSOM in a 37°C incubator until injected.

For ICSI, plates were made with drops of NIM with 1% Polyvinyl alcohol for washing the injection needle, drops of NIM 1% PVA with sperm, drops of FHM with 0.1% PVA for the eggs to be added to for injection, and finally covered with mineral oli. 15-25 eggs at a time were placed into the FHM 0.1% FHM drops of the injection plate for subsequent injection. Sperm heads were then picked and injected into the eggs. After completion of the \sim 20 injections, the injected eggs were maintained at room temperature for 5 minutes, washed 4 times in KSOM, and then cultured in KSOM in a 37°C incubator in 5% CO₂, 5% O₂, for development. The process was repeated for a total of 100-200 injections per day.

18, 24, and 28 hours post-injection, 2-cell embryos were collected into TCL buffer with 1% β -mercaptoethanol and then stored at -80°C for processing into single embryo RNA-sequencing libraries. 4-cell, 8-cell, and morula embryos were collected at 46, 60 and 75 hours respectively. Blastocysts were collected 4-5 days after ICSI determined by the morphology of a typical mid-blastocyst stage.

Epididymosome Small RNA Isolation and Injection

Epididymosomes were prepared and RNA was extracted as previously described (Belleannée et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2016). In brief, luminal contents of the cauda epididymis were centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ to pellet sperm, and the resulting supernatants were centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 minutes to get rid of cellular debris. Next the supernatants were subjected to an ultracentrifugation at $120,000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 2 h (TLA100.4 rotor; Beckman). Pellets were washed in cold PBS and subjected to a second ultracentrifugation at $120,000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 2 hours. These pellets were then resuspended in 50 µl of PBS and used for RNA extraction.

For epididymosome RNA extraction, the total volume of the samples were adjusted to 60 µl with water. 33.3 µl of lysis buffer (6.4 M Guanidine HCl, 5% Tween 20, 5% Triton, 120 mM EDTA, and 120 mM Tris pH 8.0), 3.3 µl ProteinaseK (>600 mAU/ml, Qiagen 19131), and 3.3 µl water were added to the samples. The samples were then incubated, with shaking, at 60 °C for 15 minutes on an Eppendorf thermomixer.

One volume of water (100 μ l) was then added and the sample transferred to a phase lock column (5 PRIME). For phase separation 200 μ l of TRI Reagent (MRC inc) and 40 μ l BCP (1-bromo-2 chloropropane, MRC inc) were added. The samples were then mixed by inversion 10-15 times, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 4 minutes. A second addition of TRI reagent and BCP was performed to further purify the RNA. The aqueous phase was then removed and transferred to a low binding RNase/DNase free microcentrifuge tube (MSP); followed by the addition of 20 μ g of glycoblue (Ambion) and 1 volume (~200 μ l) of Isopropanol. The RNA was then precipitated for 30 minutes or greater at -20°C, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 RPM for 5 minutes at 4°C. Finally, the RNA was reconstituted in 10 μ l water for gel size selection.

Total RNA was combined with an equal volume of Gel Loading Buffer II (Ambion), loaded onto a 15% Polyacrylamide with 7M Urea and 1X TBE gel, and run at 15W in 1X TBE until the dye front was at the very bottom of the gel (\sim 25 minutes for Criterion minigels). After staining with SYBR Gold (Life Technologies) for 7 minutes, and destaining in 1X TBE for 7 minutes, gel slices corresponding to 18-40 nucleotides were then cut from the gel. Gel slices were then ground (using a pipette tip or plastic pestle) and 750 µl of 0.3 M NaCl-TE pH 7.5 was added and incubated with shaking on a thermomixer overnight at room temperature. The samples were then filtered using a 0.4 µm Cellulose Acetate filter (Costar) to remove gel debris. The eluate was transferred to a new low binding microcentrifuge tube and 20 µg of glycoblue and 1 volume of Isopropanol (\sim 700 µl) were added. Finally, samples were precipitated for 30 or more minutes at -20 °C.

The resulting small RNA preps are almost certainly free of ribonucleoprotein complexes, as they are purified from acrylamide gels. Although they may contain other impurities (traces of acrylamide, etc.), the clear distinction between the "microRNA" and "tRF" preps in microinjection studies (Figures 6 and S6; Tables S5 and S6) strongly argues that the relevant activity of these preps is in the specific RNA populations purified.

Three hours following ICSI fertilization, zygotes were transferred to an injection plate with FHM medium containing 0.1% PVA, and subjected to micromanipulation. Caput zygotes were microinjected with either H3.3-GFP mRNA (caput control) or H3.3-GFP mRNA

plus 1 ng/µl epididymosomal gel-purified small RNAs (18-40 nts). RNA injections were carried out using a Femtojet (Eppendorf) microinjector at 100 hPa pressure for 0.2 seconds, with 7 hPa compensation pressure. After the microinjections, zygotes were washed 4-5 times in KSOM and placed back into culture. H3.3-GFP fluorescence was verified at the 2-cell stage as a marker for injection.

Given an estimated microinjection volume of 10 picoliter using the Femtojet parameters described above, the epididymosomal small RNA concentration of 1 $ng/\mu l$ was chosen to achieve delivery of roughly a single sperm's payload of small RNAs to a given zygote. Unpublished titrations in parthenote injections suggest that small RNA effects on Caput-specific genes are robust over at least a 4-fold concentration range.

Single-Embryo RNA Sequencing

Single embryo RNA-seq was carried out using the SMART-Seq protocol (Ramsköld et al., 2012). See Table S7 for a list of all embryo numbers for all experiments in this manuscript. Briefly, single embryos were lysed in TCL buffer (Qiagen) containing 1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol followed by RNA isolation using RNA-SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter). cDNA was generated from full-length polyadenylated mRNAs using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and PCR for 10 cycles to amplify the signal. Adaptors for indexing samples and sequencing were added to cDNA fragmented by Tn5-mediated tagementation using the Nextera XT kit (Illumina). Finally, pooled libraries (96 samples) were sequenced on a NextSeq 500 (75 cycles – high throughput).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Single-embryo data were mapped using RSEM after removing microRNAs, snoRNA, rRNA, and PCR duplicates. Embryos with fewer than 10,000 detected transcripts were removed from the dataset. For most analyses we focused on mRNAs with a median abundance of at least 10 tpm across the dataset.

For calling significant changes in mRNA abundance throughout this study, we simply used t-tests (unpaired, two-tailed) and corrected for multiple hypothesis testing on the number of genes above the abundance threshold. We note that this is a highly conservative approach – standard methods for identifying differentially expressed genes (DESeq, for instance) identified many more significant changes in gene expression than those reported here – which we chose in order to focus on the most robust core gene expression changes in this dataset. More inclusive differentially expressed genesets can readily be identified from the datasets provided in the supplemental tables and public data repositories.

Hnrnpab Immunofluorescence

For Immunofluorescence (IF), the zona pellucida (ZP) was removed by briefly placing mid-blastocyst stage embryos derived from caput and cauda ICSI into Acid Tyrode, followed by neutralization in several M2 washes. After removal of the ZP, embryos were washed in PBS for 5 minutes and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 minutes at 37°C. Fixed embryos were then permeabilized in 0.5% Triton in PBS for 20 minutes at RT, followed by three 10 minute washes in PBSt (0.15 Tween in PBS). Embryos were then incubated in freshly prepared 2.6mg/ml NH₄Cl in PBS for 10 minutes. Two more 10 minute PBSt washes were then preformed at RT followed by blocking of the embryos in 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) in PBSt overnight at 4°C. The embryos were then incubated with primary Rabbit monoclonal antibody [EPR16944] to HNRPAB conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647 at 1/100 dilution in 3% BSA and incubated for 4 hours at 4°C. Finally, the stained embryos were washed with PBSt two times for 10 minutes and then mounted in drops on glass bottom dishes by serial dilution of Vectashied with DAPI and PBS (50%, 75% and 100% Vectashield with DAPI). Images were acquired on a Zeiss confocal microscope and processed using ImageJ.

E7.5 Embryo Dissection

Noon of the day that a mating plug was observed was considered embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) of gestation. E7.5 embryos were dissected in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) (Invitrogen, Cat. No. 31600-034) containing 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Cat. No. S11150), penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml) (Invitrogen, Cat. No. 15140-122) and 20 mM HEPES (Fisher, Cat. No. BP310).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The accession number for the sequencing data reported in the paper is GEO: GSE111864.